BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY In the matter of: DOCKET NO. SDWA-10-2001-01-183 Myrmo and Sons, Inc. COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER Respondent. I. **JURISDICTION** This Complaint is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"

This Complaint is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Complainant") by Section 1423(c) of the Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA"), 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c). The Administrator has delegated these authorities to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 10, who in turn has redelegated them to the Director, Office of Water. Pursuant to Section 1423(c) of the SDWA, and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R. Part 22, 64 Fed. Reg. 40176-40190 (July 23, 1999) ("CROP rules"), Complainant hereby proposes the assessment of civil penalties against Myrmo and Sons, Inc. for the violation of the requirements of an applicable underground

COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER - 1

7

6

9

8

1011

12

1314

1617

15

1819

20

2122

24

25

23

2627

28

injection control program approved pursuant to Section 1422 of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-1. Pursuant to Section 1423(c) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2, Complainant also proposes issuance of the administrative order contained in Part VII of this

document. II. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Myrmo and Sons, Inc., hereinafter "Respondent," is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Oregon.
- 2. Respondent is a "person" within the meaning of Section 1401(12) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(12).
- 3. Respondent owns and operates a motor vehicle repair service facility located at 3500 North Highway 97, Bend, Oregon ("Facility").

III. <u>AUTHORITIES AND ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 4. On August 23, 2000, EPA conducted an Underground Injection Control "UIC" inspection of Respondent's Facility.
- 5. At the time of the August 23, 2000, UIC inspection, the Facility repaired motor vehicles.
- 6. The Facility discharged petroleum waste fluids into waste disposal wells for surface drainage.
- 7. The Facility did not have an available means to temporarily plug or block the waste disposal wells for surface drainage in the event of an accident or spill.
- 8. Pursuant to Section 1422 of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h1, and 40 C.F.R. Part 147, Subpart MM, Oregon Department of
 Environmental Quality ("ODEQ") administers an EPA-approved UIC
 program for all classes of wells in Oregon, except those on

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

(206) 553-1037

- 9. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 147.1900(a)(2), requirements set forth in Oregon Administrative Rules ("O.A.R.") § 340-044-0050 have been incorporated by reference and made a part of the applicable UIC program for Oregon. O.A.R. § 340-044-0050(5) provides that, "Using a waste disposal well for surface drainage in areas where toxic chemicals or petroleum waste fluids are stored or handled is prohibited, unless there is containment around the product area which will prevent spillage or leakage from entering the well." O.A.R. § 340-044-0050(6) provides that, "Any owner or operator of a waste disposal well for storm drainage shall have available a means of temporarily plugging or blocking the well in the event of an accident or spill."
- 10. On March 5, 2000, and July 19, 2001, EPA notified the State of Oregon and Respondent of EPA's determination that Respondent was in violation of requirements of ODEQ's UIC program. More than thirty days have elapsed since EPA issued these notifications, and Oregon has not commenced enforcement action against Respondent.

Count I

11. At the time of the August 23, 2000, UIC inspection, EPA observed one waste disposal well for surface drainage being used for petroleum waste fluid disposal from a steam cleaning operation, in violation of O.A.R. § 340-044-0050(5). The depth of the waste disposal well is greater than its largest surface dimension.

12. Respondent used the waste disposal well for petroleum waste fluid disposal each day for at least five years preceding the issuance of this Complaint.

Count II

- 13. Complainant realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 12.
- 14. The facility did not have any means to temporarily plug or block four waste disposal wells for storm drainage, in violation of O.A.R. § 340-044-0050(6).

V. PROPOSED PENALTY

- 15. Pursuant to Section 1423(c) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties not to exceed \$11,000 for each day of violation for any past or current violation, up to a maximum of \$137,500.
- 16. Based on the foregoing authority and allegations, Complainant hereby proposes issuance of a final order assessing administrative penalties against Respondent, for the SDWA violations cited above, in the amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$11,000).
- 17. The proposed penalty amount was determined by Complainant after taking into account appropriate factors including: the seriousness of the violations; the economic benefit resulting from the violations; and Respondent's history of violations, ability to pay, and good faith efforts to comply.
- 18. The violations described above are serious.

 Respondent's violations have resulted in the injection of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19. Respondent realized an economic benefit by having avoided and/or delayed implementation of waste management controls that would have ensured compliance with the applicable requirements. Based on the information available to EPA regarding Respondent's financial condition, Respondent appears able to pay the proposed penalty.

17

VII. PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

18 19 20. Based upon the foregoing findings and pursuant to Section

1423(c) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c), Complainant proposes

21

20

to issue the following Administrative Order. Respondent shall

22

cease all unauthorized underground injection activities. In particular, Respondent shall comply with the prohibition on

2324

using waste disposal wells found in O.A.R. § 340-044-0050(5).

25

Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this order,

26

Respondent shall provide EPA with documentation confirming that the waste disposal well has been disconnected or rerouted. Such

27

28

COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER - 5

documentation shall include a photographic or video record of the improvements made to the facility to achieve compliance with the SDWA, plus an estimate (with any supporting documentation) of the costs expended to achieve compliance. Respondent shall submit all such documentation to:

> Calvin Terada Groundwater Protection Unit U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop OW-137 Seattle, Washington 98101 Phone: (206) 553-4141

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this order, Respondent shall initiate a site investigation or, if necessary, a cleanup in a manner that is consistent with ODEQ's Independent Cleanup Pathway, formalized in April 1999. Upon completion of the site investigation and any necessary cleanup, Respondent shall submit to EPA for review and approval the final report, in a form consistent with ODEQ's "Independent Cleanup Pathway Report Preparation Guide", dated March 26, 2001. Respondent may request an extension of an additional (30) days in which to submit to EPA the final report, which extension will not be unreasonably withheld by EPA. Fifteen (15) days upon receiving EPA approval for the final report, Respondent shall properly plug and abandon the waste disposal well in a manner which is consistent with

- O.A.R. § 340-044-0040 and O.A.R. § 690-240-030.
- 21. In accordance with Section 1423(c)(3)(A) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c)(3)(A), this order will be issued thirty (30) days after Respondent receives this written notice unless

COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER - 6

Respondent's Answer should clearly and directly admit, deny, or

COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER - 7

27

28

explain each of the factual allegations contained in this 1 Complaint with regard to which Respondent have any knowledge. 2 Respondent's Answer must also state: (1) the circumstances or 3 arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of 4 defense; (2) the facts which Respondent disputes; (3) the basis 5 for opposing the proposed penalty and order; and (4) whether a 6 hearing is requested. Failure to admit, deny, or explain any 7 material factual allegation contained herein constitutes an 8 admission of the allegation. 9 NOTICE OF APPLICATION OF SUBPART I 10 The procedures of Subpart I of the CROP rules will 27. 11 govern this adjudicatory proceeding, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50-52. 12 13 XII. 14

QUICK RESOLUTION AND SETTLEMENT

In accordance with Section 22.18 of the CROP rules, 28. Respondent may resolve this action at any time after ten (10) days

following the close of public comment on this Complaint by mailing the proposed penalty in full to:

> EPA Region 10 Hearing Clerk P.O. Box 360903M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251-6903

and by filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk a copy of the check.

EPA encourages settlement of a proceeding at any time if the settlement is consistent with the provisions and objectives of the Act and applicable regulations. Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Respondent may request an

28

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this
2	case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settling this
3	matter. To request such a settlement conference, please
4	contact:
5	Joseph W. Ryan Assistant Regional Counsel
6	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158
7	Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1506
8	
9	30. A request for an informal settlement conference does
10	not extend the thirty (30) day period for filing a written
11	Answer to this Complaint.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2021	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

XII. RESERVATIONS

1	
2	31. Neither assessment of an administrative civil penalty
3	pursuant to this Complaint, nor compliance with the
4	administrative order proposed herein, shall affect Respondent's
5	continuing obligations to comply with the SDWA, and all other
6	environmental statutes.
7	Dated this <u>4</u> day of <u>September</u> , 2001
8	24004 0112 <u>1</u> 447 01 <u>20,20411001</u> , 1001

____/S/____ Randall F. Smith, Director Office of Water

COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER - 10

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that the foregoing "Administrative Complaint For Penalties" was sent to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below: Original hand-delivered: Mary Shillcutt, Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101 Copy, together with a cover letter and copy of the CROP rules, by certified mail, return receipt requested: Dated: <u>September 6, 2001</u> /S/ Greg Sippy U.S. EPA Region 10 U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER - 11